DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554 MAR 23 1999

	THE OF HE SECOND
)	THE BETTE OF THE PARTY.
)	RM-9474
Ć	
)	
)	
)	
	) ) ) ) )

## REPLY COMMENTS OF BELLSOUTH CORPORATION IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR EXPEDITED RULEMAKING

BellSouth Corporation, for itself and its affiliated companies (BellSouth), submits these reply comments in response to the comments filed in the above matter.

Allegiance's petition seeks a Commission rulemaking to create performance measures, standards, penalties and to address a grab bag of other miscellaneous unrelated issues. BellSouth's Comments illustrated the various infirmities of Allegiance's Petition. BellSouth's Comments also highlighted the major failing of Allegiance's petition -- the Commission and the industry are already well into rulemakings addressing Allegiance's issues. Two pending proceedings address substantially all of the issues raised by Allegiance. Performance measures, standards and penalties are being addressed in *In the Matter of Performance Measurements and Reporting Requirements for Operations Support Systems, Interconnection, and Operator Services and Directory Assistance*,

No. of Copies rec'd OF/O
List A B C D E

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Dkt. No. 98-56, FCC 98-72, 13 FCC Rcd 12817 (1998)("Performance Measures NPRM"). Allegiance's collocation issues are being addressed in Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Dkt No. 98-147, FCC 98-188, Memorandum Opinion, Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (rel. Aug. 7, 1998).

As BellSouth and other parties point out in their comments, the Commission's pending *Performance Measures NPRM* is pursuing the proper approach to the performance issues raised by Allegiance's petition. The Commission has explained that its first step is to develop a set of performance measures. After the Commission and industry have gained experience with the operation of these measures, then the creation of performance standards and penalties may be properly addressed. *Performance Measures NPRM* 125-130.

A number of parties agreed with BellSouth that Allegiance's petition should be rejected because there is no sense in beginning a duplicative rulemaking. AT&T agrees that "it is not necessary to commence a new rulemaking to deal with those matters."

AT&T Comments at 4. Similarly, MCI suggests returning to the Performance Measures NPRM rather than beginning anew. MCI Comments at ii. See also Ameritech Comments at 2.

Allegiance's petition would also have the Commission reverse its current course of action and create standards and penalties without benefit of well constructed performance measures. Allegiance's penalty proposal led commenters to put forward elaborate schemes to transfer money from incumbents to new entrants. As the

Commenters' plans for speedy "self-executing" penalties ignore essential constitutional and statutory due process requirements. For example, section 271(d)(6) requires a "notice and opportunity for

Commission has already determined, no acceptable scheme of penalties can be constructed until the industry has gained experience with the actual operation of performance measures. Performance Measures NPRM at ¶ 130. AT&T echoes BellSouth's comments that the Commission should continue with its current approach rather than put the cart before the horse. AT&T Comments at 6; BellSouth Comments at 3-4. No party puts forward any substantive reason or argument that the Commission should do otherwise.

The Commission should dismiss Allegiance's petition. No new proceedings are required or appropriate to address the issues Allegiance has raised.

Respectfully Submitted,

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

William B. Barfield Jonathan Banks

Its Attorneys

Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-3910 (404) 249-2207 Telephone (404) 249-5901 Facsimile

March 23, 1999

hearing" before Commission action. It further specifies that penalties may be assessed only pursuant to Title V and the procedures outlined there. BellSouth's actual experience with a "Rocket Docket" proceedings has emphasized the need for mandatory pre-complaint proceedings to provide at least a modicum of process. BellSouth Comments, In the Matter of Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and Amendment of Rules Governing Procedures to be Followed When Formal Complaints Are Filed Against Common Carriers, CC Dkt. 96-238.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 23rd day of March, 1999 served the following parties to this action with a copy of the foregoing **REPLY COMMENTS OF BELLSOUTH CORPORATION** by hand-delivery (\*\*\*\*) or by placing a true and

correct copy of the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties at the addresses shown below:

Magalie Roman Salas, Esq.

Secretary

**Federal Communications Commission** 

Portals – 445 12th Street, S.W. Filing Counter TWS-A325 Washington, DC 20554\*\*\*

**International Transcription Service** 

1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036\*\*\*

Robert W. McCausland

Vice President, Regulatory and Interconnection

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

1950 Stemmons Freeway, Suite 3026

Dallas, TX 75207-3118

Jonathan E. Canis Ross A. Buntrock Michael B. Hazzard

Kelley Drye and Warren LLP

Counsel for Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.

Fifth Floor

Washington, DC 20036

John Lenahan Larry A. Peck

**Counsel for Ameritech** 

Room 4H86

2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025 Emily M. Williams

Association for Local Telecommunications

Services

888 17th Street, N.W.

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20006

Andrew D. Lipman Patrick Donovan Kemal M. Hawa

Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP

Counsel for KMC Telecom Inc. and

Focal Communications Corporation

3000 K Street, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20007-5116

Richard H. Rubin Mark C. Rosenblum Stephen C. Garavito

AT&T Corp Room 3252I3

295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Leslie A. Vial Bell Atlantic

1320 North Court House Road

Eighth Floor

Arlington, VA 22201

Ronald Binz, President Debra Berlyn, Executive Director Competition Policy Institute 1156 15th Street, N.W. Suite 520 Washington, DC 20005

Eric J. Branfman Larry A. Blosser Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Counsel for CoreComm Limited 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Mark DeFalco
Director, Regulatory Affairs
CTSI, Inc.
100 CTE Drive
Dallas, PA 18612

Ronald J. Jarvis Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Counsel for CTSI, Inc. 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Barry Pineles
Regulatory Counsel
GST Telecom Inc.
4001 Main Street
Vancouver, WA 98663

Janet S. Livengood
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Hyperion Telecommunications, Inc.
DDI Plaza Two
500 Thomas Street
Suite 400
Bridgeville, PA 15017

Jonathan E. Canis
Michael B. Hazzard
Kelley Drye and Warren LLP
Counsel for Intermedia Communications,
Inc.
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Jerome L. Epstein
Jenner & Block
Counsel for MCI WorldCom, Inc.
601 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Keith L. Seat Karen T. Reidy MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Jonathan E. Canis
Ross A. Buntrock
Kelley Drye and Warren LLP
Counsel for MGC Communications, Inc.
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Kent F. Heyman, General Counsel Richard E. Heatter, Associate Counsel Marilyn Ash, Associate Counsel MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 N. Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129

Lawrence G. Malone
General Counsel
New York State Department of
Public Service
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Dana Frix
Michael R. Romano
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
Counsel for Hyperion
Telecommunications, Inc.
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Joseph A. Kahl
Director of Regulatory Affairs
RCN Telecom Services, Inc.
105 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540

Patrick J. Donovan Kemal M. Hawa Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Counsel for RCN Telecom Services, Inc. 3000 K. Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Robert M. Lynch
Roger K. Toppins
Michael J. Zpevak
Lori A. Fink
William A. Brown
Attorneys for SBC Communications, Inc.
One Bell Plaza, 30th Floor
P. O. Box 655521
Dallas, TX 75265-5521

Hamilton E. Russell, III
General Counsel and Vice President of
Regulatory Affairs
State Communications, Inc.
200 North Main Street
Suite 303
Greenville, SC 29601

Dana Frix
Ronald J. Jarvis
Counsel for State Communications, Inc.
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Patrick Donovan
Michael Romano
Counsel for Pac West Telecom, Inc.
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116

Brad E. Mutschelknaus
Michael B. Hazzard
Kelley Drye and Warren LLP
Counsel for Telergy Network Services, Inc.
and Telergy Central LLC
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Robert Berger
Russell Merbeth
Winstar Communications, Inc.
1146 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Russell M. Blau
Kathleen L. Greenan
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
Counsel for Winstar Communications, Inc.
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

March 23, 1999